



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6965
Title of the Manuscript:	BACTERIOLOGICAL AND PHYSICOCHEMICAL QUALITY OF HAND-DUG WELL WATER USED FOR DRINKING AND DOMESTIC PURPOSES IN DARETA VILLAGE, ANKA, NIGERIA

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Author(s) have carried out revision of manuscript in line with review comments made and to conform with journal guidelines. However, the following still need to be noted before manuscript is published: - In the abstract, the aim of the study should include determination of physicochemical properties since these were also studied. Also the adjective - bacteriological quality should rather be used instead of bacteriology quality. Still on abstract, procedure for physicochemical measurements should be briefly mentioned. - The title for Fig 2.1 should be "One of the wells in the heart of Dareta village" - The subsection – Physicochemical properties under section 2.0 should be in bold form and should rather be numbered 2.2. Under it, PH should be changed to pH. - Under the subsection - Identification of specific bacteria, the following statement should be checked and so corrected "The 24 h old cultures of the organism were subjected to biochemical tests such as" - Under Results and Discussion, the units of bacterial counts should be included. For instance "The range of total bacterial count was from 23×10^2 to 110×10^4 colony forming unit/ ml (Table 3.1). The key CFU – colony forming unit should be included as footnote under Table 3.1 - On the list of references, the names of journals should be abbreviated in accordance to NCBI database and should not be italicised. For instance, Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences should be Pakistan J Biol Sc.; Nigerian Journal of Microbiology should be Nigerian J Microbiol and African Journal of Microbiology Research should rather be Afr J Microbiol Res.	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments -In the abstract, The determination of physicochemical properties has been included, the adjective - bacteriology quality has also been change to bacteriological quality. And the procedure for physicochemical properties has also been included. - The title for Fig 2.1 has been corrected as "One of the wells in the heart of Dareta village". - The subsection – Physicochemical properties under section 2.0 is in bold form now is numbered 2.2. -Under the subsection - Identification of specific bacteria, the statement has corrected to the 24 h old cultures of the organism were subjected to biochemical tests such as... - Under Results and Discussion, the units of bacterial counts have been included. And the key CFU – colony forming has been included as footnote under Table 3.1. - On the list of references, the names of journals have been abbreviated in accordance to NCBI database and is not more italicised. All the corrections are indicated in colour ink.